

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/515,809	02/29/2000	Steve Trong	CISCO-1937	9991
2000.	990 04/10/2007 VT GROUP, LTD.	EXAMINER		
1657 Hwy 395, S	Suite 202		BROWN, CHRISTOPHER J	
Minden, NV 89423			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2134	
		· · ·		•
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	04/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/515,809	TRONG, STEVE			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Christopher J. Brown	2134			
The MA	AILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply						
WHICHEVER - Extensions of tim after SIX (6) MOI - If NO period for r - Failure to reply w Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE are may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eply is specified above, the maximum statutory period weithin the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, and by the Office later than three months after the mailing rm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Respon	sive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Fe</u>	ebruary 2007.				
2a)⊠ This act	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of CI	aims					
4)⊠ Claim(s 4a) Of th 5)□ Claim(s 6)⊠ Claim(s 7)□ Claim(s) <u>15-26</u> is/are pending in the application ne above claim(s) is/are withdraw) is/are allowed.) <u>15-26</u> is/are rejected.) is/are objected to.) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
, —	cification is objected to by the Examine		v			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) dispected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
2) D Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) closure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 09/515,809

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/29/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Westberg does not teach connection information as recited in the amended claim. Applicant argues that Westberg instead sends an identifier in the packet to indicated a location in a lookup table. Examiner agrees that it is true that Westberg teaches sending an identifier. Westberg however, teaches the connection information (full header containing the source/destination/connection/flow information associated with the session context/connection ID) is sent in the initial connection, where said connection information is needed by a module to support said connection (Col 6 line 63-Col 7 line 9).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 15-17, 19-21, 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Westberg US 6,041,054.

Application/Control Number: 09/515,809

Art Unit: 2134

As per claims 15, 19, and 23 Westberg teaches receiving connection information from a module in a routing system (Col 7 lines 1-17). Westberg teaches determining a connection identifier for said connection (Col 7 line 13). Westberg teaches embedding said connection id into said connection information (Col 7 lines 15-17). Westberg teaches storing said connection information needed by a module to support said connection with said connection id into memory (Col 7 lines 10-12). It is inherent as part of the internet that Westberg teaches a routing system.

As per claims 16, 20, 24 Westberg teaches detecting a new connection and generating a new connection identifier (Col 6 line 64 to Col 7 line 3).

As per claims 17, 21, 25 Westberg teaches the connection identifier comprises both the source address and a destination address (Col 7 lines 4-6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18, 22, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Westberg US 6,041,054 in view of Jade US 6,061,797

Art Unit: 2134

As per claim 18, 22, and 26 Westberg reaches a connection identifier system but does not teach a firewall.

Jade teaches a firewall making connection requests (Col 3 lines 30-35).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the firewall of Jade with the system of Westberg in order to provide an increased level of security.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher J. Brown whose telephone number is (571)272-3833. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/515,809

Art Unit: 2134

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kambiz Zand can be reached on (571)272-3811. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher J. Brown

4/4/07

KANBIZ ZAND KANBIZ ZAND PRIMARY EXAMINER